

Failure Modes, Effects, and Diagnostic Analysis

Magnetrol Model 940 SPDT Relay Ultrasonic Level Switch

Rev 1.1 March 20, 2006

Table of Contents

Α.	De	scription	. 3
В.	Ma	nagement Summary	3
C.	Fa	ilure Modes, Effects, and Diagnostic Analysis	. 4
	1.	Standards	4
	2.	Definitions	4
	3.	Assumptions	5
	4.	Failure Rates	. 5
	5.	Safe Failure Fraction	5
	6.	PFD _{AVG}	6
D.	Lia	bility	6
E.	Lif	etime of Critical Components	6
F	Re	lease Signatures	6

A. Description

This report describes the results of the Failure Modes, Effects, and Diagnostic Analysis (FMEDA) of the Magnetrol Model 940 Ultrasonic Level Switch. The FMEDA performed on the Model 940 Ultrasonic Level Switch includes all electronics and related hardware. For full certification purposes the Model 940 software along with all requirements of IEC61508 must be considered.

B. Management Summary

This report summarizes the results of the Failure Modes, Effects, and Diagnostic Analysis (FMEDA) of the Magnetrol Model 940 Ultrasonic Level Switch. The FMEDA was performed to determine failure rates, and the Safe Failure Fraction (SFF), which can be used to achieve functional safety certification per IEC61508 of a device.

Version overview:

Model 940	24 Vdc Ultrasonic Level Switch; SPDT Relay	
		j

The Model 940 Ultrasonic Level Switch is a **Complex Device** classified as **Type B** according to IEC61508, having a hardware fault tolerance of 0. The Model 940 Ultrasonic Level Switch is a 24 Vdc power device that provides a relay output.

The Model 940 failure rates are shown in Table 1.

The Model 940 has only one output failure state. That is its Fail-Safe State. The Fail-Safe State of the Model 940 has the relay de-energized. The relay contact positions with the relay de-energized is the Fail-Safe output of the 940.

Table 1: Model 940 IEC 61508 Format Failure Rates

Failure Category	$\lambda^{(\mathrm{SD})}$	$\lambda^{(SU)}$	$\lambda^{ ext{(DD)}}$	$\lambda^{ ext{(DU)}}$	SFF
940	0 FIT	91 FIT	220 FIT	24 FIT	92.8%

Both Dangerous Detected Failures and process alarms cause the relay to de-energize. Therefore, they both look the same to the logic solver.

These failure rates can be used in a probabilistic model of a Safety Instrumented Function (SIF) to determine suitability in part for Safety Instrumented System (SIS) usage in a particular Safety Integrity Level (SIL). A more complete listing of failure rates is provided in Table 2.

C. Failure Modes, Effects, and Diagnostic Analysis

1. **Standards**

This evaluation is based on the following:

Functional Safety of Electrical / Electronic / Programmable IEC 61508: 2000 Electronic Safety Related Systems

SILVER (FMEDA Tool V4R0.6a), a failure rate database developed by exida.com

The rates used in Silver have been chosen in a way that is appropriate for safety integrity level verification calculations. Actual field failure results with average environmental stress are expected to be superior to the results predicted by these numbers. The user of this information is responsible for determining the applicability to a particular environment.

2. **Definitions**

FMEDA A Failure Modes Effect and Diagnostic Analysis is a

> technique which combines online diagnostic techniques and the failure modes relevant to safety instrumented system design with traditional FMEA techniques which identify and evaluate the effects of

isolated component failure modes.

Fail-Safe State The Fail–Safe state is equivalent to the condition of

> the output of the device if it lost power. For relay outputs this is the de-energized state of the relay

contacts.

Safe Failure A failure that causes the device or system to go to the

> defined fail-safe state without a demand from the process. Safe failures are either detected or

undetected. Relay is de-energized.

A failure that does not respond to a demand from the Dangerous Failure

> process (i.e. is unable to go to the defined fail-safe state). Dangerous Failures are either detected or

undetected.

No Effect Faults that have no impact on the safety function of

the device.

Hardware Fault Tolerance The ability of a component / subsystem to continue to

be able to undertake the required SIF in the presence

of one or more dangerous faults in hardware.

Failures in time. 1 FIT = 1×10^{-9} failures per hour. **FITs**

Average Probability of Failure on Demand for a one $PFD_{AVG}(1yr)$

> year proof test interval. Probability the unit will fail in the period of one year between functional checks of the unit. The percentage of the range indicates how much of the total allowed PFD range for a particular SIL level for the SIF is consumed by the device.

3. Assumptions

- The failure categories listed are only safe and dangerous, both detected and undetected.
- The Fail-Safe State of the 940 is the relay contact position with the relay de-energized.
- Failure of one part will fail the entire unit.
- Failure rates are constant; normal wear and tear is not included.
- Increase in failures is not relevant.
- Components that cannot have an affect on the safety function are not considered in the analysis.
- The average temperature over a long period of time is 40°C.
- The stress levels are typical for an industrial environment and can be compared to the Ground Fixed classification of MIL-HNBK-217F.
- The failure rates of the device supplying power to Magnetrol's device are not included.

4. Failure Rates

Note: Fail detected (internal diagnostic) and Fail Fail-Safe (inherently) failures cause the relay to de-energize. Therefore, both these types of failures look the same to the logic solver.

Table 2: Model 940 Failure Rates

Failure Category		Failure rate (in Fits)
Fail Fail–Safe (detected by logic solver)		220
Fail Detected (internal diagnostic)	75	
Fail Fail-Safe (inherently)	145	
Fail Dangerous Undetected		24
No Effect		91
Annunciation Undetected		0

5. Safe Failure Fraction

Table 3: Model 940 Safe Failure Fraction

Model	SFF
940	92.8%

Because the SFF is greater than 90%, and the 940 is a Type B device, it is suitable for SIL 2 with a Hardware Fault Tolerance of 0.

6. PFD_{AVG}

Model 940

The Model 940 is a 1001 (one out of one) level switch. The average Probability of Failure on Demand (PFD_{AVG}) for a one year Proof Test Interval is:

PFD_{AVG}(1yr) =
$$[(\lambda^{DU}/2) * 1 \text{ yr}_{\text{(hours)}}] + (\lambda^{DD} * 8 \text{ hours})$$

= $[24*10^{-9}/2 * 8760] + (220*10^{-9} * 8) = 1.07*10^{-4}$

This PFD_{AVG} value is less than 10^{-2} and suitable for Type B SIL 2 application.

SIL range (max) 0.01

PFD_{AVG} (1yr) % of SIL Range 1.07%

D. Liability

The FMEDA analysis is based on *exida.com*'s *SILVER* Tool. Magnetrol and *exida.com* accept no liability whatsoever for the use of these numbers or for the correctness of the standards on which the general calculation methods are based.

E. Life time of critical components:

All components except electrolytic capacitors are generally accepted as having a useful lifetime of up to 50 years. There are no electrolytic capacitors used on the Model 940

Therefore, the useful lifetime of the product is at least 50 years.

F. Release Signatures

Name

Paul Snider

Title: Sr. Compliance Engineer

Date: March 20, 2006

Name John S. Benway

Title: Evaluation Engineering Manager

LA Benway

Date: March 20, 2006

Rev 1.1 6